

09. Quantum Mechanics: Entanglement

1. Multipartite Vector States

Entanglement Involves Multipartite States!

- Let $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B$ be vector spaces for *two* quantum 2-state systems.
 - The state space for the combined "bipartite" system is represented by the *product vector space* $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.
- Suppose $\{|0_A\rangle, |1_A\rangle\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{H}_A and $\{|0_B\rangle, |1_B\rangle\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{H}_B .
 - Then: $\{|0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle, |0_A\rangle|1_B\rangle, |1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle, |1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.
 - And: Any bipartite state $|Q\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be expanded in this basis:

$$|Q\rangle = a|0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + b|0_A\rangle|1_B\rangle + c|1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + d|1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle$$

Def. 1 (*Product/non-product vector state*). A **product vector state** in a product vector space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is a vector $|\psi\rangle$ that can be written as a product of two vectors $|\psi\rangle = |v_A\rangle \otimes |v_B\rangle$, where $|v_A\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $|v_B\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$. A **non-product vector** in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is a vector that is not a product vector.

Examples:

- *Non-product:* $|\Psi^+\rangle = \sqrt{1/2} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle \}$

- *Product:*

$$|Q\rangle = \sqrt{1/4} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |0_A\rangle|1_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle \}$$

$$= \sqrt{1/4} \{ |0_A\rangle + |1_A\rangle \} \{ |0\rangle_2 + |1\rangle_2 \}$$

$$|Q'\rangle = \sqrt{1/2} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle \} = \sqrt{1/2} \{ |0_A\rangle + |1_A\rangle \} |0_B\rangle$$

$$|Q''\rangle = |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle$$

- Suppose $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are eigenstates of Hardness ($|0\rangle = |hard\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |soft\rangle$).

According to the Eigenvalue-Eigenvector Rule:

- In vector states $|\Psi^+\rangle$ and $|Q\rangle$, both electrons have no determinate Hardness value.

 Both are in superposed states

- In vector state $|Q'\rangle$, electron A has no determinate Hardness value, but electron B does (*i.e.*, hard).

 Electron A is in a superposed state

- In state $|Q''\rangle$, both electrons have determinate Hardness values.

Examples:

- *Non-product:* $|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle \}$

- *Product:*

$$|Q\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |0_A\rangle|1_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|1_B\rangle \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \{ |0_A\rangle + |1_A\rangle \} \{ |0\rangle_2 + |1\rangle_2 \}$$

$$|Q'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle + |1_A\rangle|0_B\rangle \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |0_A\rangle + |1_A\rangle \} |0_B\rangle$$

$$|Q''\rangle = |0_A\rangle|0_B\rangle$$

- Suppose $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are eigenstates of Hardness ($|0\rangle = |hard\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |soft\rangle$).

According to the Projection Postulate:

- In the non-product state $|\Psi^+\rangle$, when a measurement is performed on electron A, its state collapses (to either $|0_A\rangle$ or $|1_A\rangle$), and this instantaneously affects the state of electron B!
- In any of the product states, a measurement performed on electron A will not affect the state of electron B.


← Spooky action at a distance?

← Can this be detected?

2. Correlations in Vector States

Entanglement Involves Correlations!

- Idea: When a bipartite system is in an entangled vector state, its subsystems can have properties that are correlated in a non-classical way.


*Can't be explained
by a direct cause!* *Can't be explained by
a common cause!*

- Quantum Information Theory: How to exploit entanglement correlations to solve computational problems.

Task #1: *How can we represent correlated observables with respect to vector states?*

Task #2: *How can we represent correlations that cannot be due to either a direct cause or a common cause?*

Def. 2 (*Expectation value for vector state*). The **expectation value** $\langle O \rangle_\psi$ of an observable O with respect to a vector state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $\langle O \rangle_\psi \equiv \langle \psi | O | \psi \rangle$.

- Idea: $\langle O \rangle_\psi$ is the average value of O in the vector state $|\psi\rangle$.
 - Suppose: The observable is represented by operator B with eigenvectors $|b_i\rangle$ and eigenvalues b_i , and let $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |b_i\rangle$, $\langle \psi | = \sum_j \alpha_j^* \langle b_j |$.


- Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle B \rangle_\psi &= \langle \psi | B | \psi \rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j^* \langle b_j | B \sum_i \alpha_i | b_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} b_i \alpha_j^* \alpha_i \langle b_j | b_i \rangle \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \langle b_j | b_i \rangle = 1 \text{ for } i = j, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.} \\ \alpha_i^* \alpha_i = |\alpha_i|^2 = \text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(b_i | B) \end{array} \\
 &= \sum_i b_i \text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(b_i | B)
 \end{aligned}$$

The average value of the set of values $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ with the Born probabilities $\{\text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(b_1 | B), \dots, \text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(b_n | B)\}$ assigned to its members.

Def. 3 (*Expectation value for density operator state*). The **expectation value** $\langle O \rangle_\rho$ of an observable O with respect to a density operator state ρ is given by $\langle O \rangle_\rho \equiv \text{Tr}(\rho O)$.

• Idea: $\langle O \rangle_\rho$ is the *average value* of O in density operator state ρ .

- So: $\langle O \rangle_\rho = \sum_j p_j \langle O \rangle_{\psi_j}$  *The weighted sum of the average value of O in each vector state $|\psi_j\rangle$ of an ensemble $\{|\psi_j\rangle, p_j\}$.*

- Suppose: The observable is represented by operator B with eigenvectors $|b_i\rangle$ and eigenvalues b_i , and let $|\psi_j\rangle = \sum_k \alpha_{jk} |b_k\rangle$, and $\rho = \sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| = \sum_{j,k,l} p_j \alpha_{jk} \alpha_{jl}^* |b_k\rangle \langle b_l|$.

- Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}(\rho B) &= \sum_i \langle b_i | \rho B | b_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} p_j b_i \alpha_{jk} \alpha_{jl}^* \langle b_i | b_k \rangle \langle b_l | b_i \rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \langle b_i | b_k \rangle = 1 \text{ for } i = k, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.} \\ \langle b_l | b_i \rangle = 1 \text{ for } l = i, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{array} \\
 &= \sum_j p_j \sum_i b_i \alpha_{ji} \alpha_{ji}^* \quad \leftarrow \alpha_{ji} \alpha_{ji}^* = |\alpha_j|^2 = \text{Pr}_{|\psi_j\rangle}(b_i | B) \\
 &= \sum_j p_j \sum_i b_i \text{Pr}_{|\psi_j\rangle}(b_i | B) \\
 &= \sum_j p_j \langle O \rangle_{\psi_j}
 \end{aligned}$$

Def. 4 (*Product operator*). Let O_A and O_B be operators on vector spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B , and let $|\psi_A\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\psi_B\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, and $|\psi_A \otimes \psi_B\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. The **product operator** $O_A \otimes O_B$ is defined by


$$(O_A \otimes O_B)|\psi_A \otimes \psi_B\rangle \equiv O_A|\psi_A\rangle \otimes O_B|\psi_B\rangle$$

- *Idea*: The "A" part of $O_A \otimes O_B$ only acts on the "A" part of $|\psi_A \otimes \psi_B\rangle$, and the "B" part of $O_A \otimes O_B$ only acts on the "B" part of $|\psi_A \otimes \psi_B\rangle$.
- O_A and O_B represent observables (properties) of the two subsystems of a composite bipartite system.

What does it mean to say these observables are correlated with each other?

Def. 5 (*Correlated observables for vector states*). Let O_A and O_B be operators on vector spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B with identity operators I_A and I_B , and let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Then the observables represented by O_A and O_B are **correlated in vector state $|\psi\rangle$** just when

$$\langle O_A \otimes O_B \rangle_\psi \neq \langle O_A \otimes I_B \rangle_\psi \langle I_A \otimes O_B \rangle_\psi$$

 The expectation value of $O_A \otimes O_B$ cannot be factored into a product of the expectation values of its "parts"

- Motivation: The observables O_A and O_B are correlated in the sense of Def. 4 *if and only if* they are *statistically dependent*; i.e.,

$$\Pr_{|\psi\rangle}(a_i, b_j | O_A, O_B) \neq \Pr_{|\psi\rangle}(a_i | O_A) \Pr_{|\psi\rangle}(b_j | O_B), \quad \text{for all } i, j$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{The joint probability of getting} \\ \text{the value } a_i \text{ of } O_A \text{ and the} \\ \text{value } b_j \text{ of } O_B \text{ in the state } |\psi\rangle \end{array} \right) \neq \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{The probability of} \\ \text{getting the value } a_i \\ \text{of } O_A \text{ in the state } |\psi\rangle \end{array} \right) \times \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{The probability of} \\ \text{getting the value } b_j \\ \text{of } O_B \text{ in the state } |\psi\rangle \end{array} \right)$$

Task #1 accomplished!

Claim. Observables represented by the operators O_A and O_B that appear in a product operator $O_A \otimes O_B$ are uncorrelated in a product vector state and correlated in a non-product vector state.

- Example: Let $|\psi_{\text{prod}}\rangle = |\psi_A\psi_B\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{non}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\psi_A\phi_B\rangle + |\phi_A\psi_B\rangle\}$ be a product vector and a non-product vector in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle O_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{prod}}} &= \langle \psi_A\psi_B | (O_A \otimes O_B) | \psi_A\psi_B \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_A\psi_B | (O_A |\psi_A\rangle \otimes O_B |\psi_B\rangle) \rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{def. of product operator} \\
 &= \langle \psi_A | O_A | \psi_A \rangle \langle \psi_B | O_B | \psi_B \rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{def. of product space inner-product} \\
 &= \langle \psi_A | O_A | \psi_A \rangle (\langle \psi_B | I_B | \psi_B \rangle) (\langle \psi_A | I_A | \psi_A \rangle) \langle \psi_B | O_B | \psi_B \rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{insertion of identity} \\
 &= \langle \psi_A\psi_B | (O_A \otimes I_B) | \psi_A\psi_B \rangle \langle \psi_A\psi_B | (I_A \otimes O_B) | \psi_A\psi_B \rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{rearranging...} \\
 &= \langle O_A \otimes I_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{prod}}} \langle I_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{prod}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

No correlation between O_A and O_B in $|\psi_{\text{prod}}\rangle!$

Claim. Observables represented by the operators O_A and O_B that appear in a product operator $O_A \otimes O_B$ are uncorrelated in a product vector state and correlated in a non-product vector state.

- Example: Let $|\psi_{\text{prod}}\rangle = |\psi_A\psi_B\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{non}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|\psi_A\phi_B\rangle + |\phi_A\psi_B\rangle\}$ be a product vector and a non-product vector in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\langle \psi_A\phi_B| + \langle \phi_A\psi_B|\} (O_A \otimes O_B) \{|\psi_A\phi_B\rangle + |\phi_A\psi_B\rangle\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{\langle O_A \rangle_{\psi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\phi_B} + \langle \phi_A|O_A|\psi_A\rangle \langle \psi_B|O_B|\phi_B\rangle + \langle \psi_A|O_A|\phi_A\rangle \langle \phi_B|O_B|\psi_B\rangle \\ &\quad + \langle O_A \rangle_{\phi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\psi_B}\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O_A \otimes I_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}} \langle I_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}} \\ = \frac{1}{4}\{\langle O_A \rangle_{\psi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\phi_B} + \langle O_A \rangle_{\psi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\psi_B} + \langle O_A \rangle_{\phi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\phi_B} + \langle O_A \rangle_{\phi_A} \langle O_B \rangle_{\psi_B}\}\end{aligned}$$

So: $\langle O_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}} \neq \langle O_A \otimes I_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}} \langle I_A \otimes O_B \rangle_{\psi_{\text{non}}}$

Correlation between O_A and O_B in $|\psi_{\text{non}}\rangle!$

3. Entangled Vector States

So: *Non-product vector states exhibit correlations between observables.*

Can these correlations be explained by direct causes and/or common causes?

- In $|\psi_{\text{non}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{|0_A 1_B\rangle - |1_A 0_B\rangle\}$, there is a *correlation* between the Hardness properties of electron *A* & electron *B*: When one is *hard*, the other is *soft*.
- Direct cause explanation?
 - No! Projection Postulate entails that when a measurement is performed on electron *A*, its state collapses (to either $|0_A\rangle$ or $|1_A\rangle$), *and this instantaneously affects the state of electron B!*
- Common cause explanation?
 - No! Can show that in $|\psi_{\text{non}}\rangle$ there are pair-wise correlations between four spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ observables such that a particular sum of their expectation values violates a "Bell" inequality that it must satisfy if the correlations are due to a common cause.



A bit messy to demonstrate! Ultimately due to the way we represent expectation values of bipartite properties in a non-product vector state.

So: *Non-product vector states exhibit correlations between observables that cannot be explained either by a direct cause or a common cause.*

- *Classical* correlations can always be explained by a direct cause and/or a common cause.
- This suggests that the correlations exhibited by non-product vector states are unique to quantum mechanics.
 - *Call them "entanglement correlations".*
 - *Call the vector states in quantum mechanics that support them, "entangled vector states".*

Def. 6 (*Entangled vector state*). A state represented by a multipartite vector $|\psi\rangle$ is **entangled** just when $|\psi\rangle$ is a non-product vector state.