10. Feminism, Science, and Technology



<u>Gender</u>	Tenure Track	<u>Industry</u>	Lecturers
Male	76 (82%)	32 (94%)	21 (72%)
Female	17 (18%)	2 (6%)	8 (28%)
Total	93	34	29

NYU-Tandon Fall faculty by gender, Fall 2011.

<u>The Science Gender Disparity</u>: A gap between the number of women receiving PhDs in science and those hired as junior faculty.

- Number of women PhDs has increased; thus disparity can't be addressed by increasing access for women to PhD programs.
- Are "lifestyle choices" to blame?
 - Do women prefer non-science disciplines?
 - Do women take on a disproportionate amount of child- and family-care?

Moss-Racusin, et al. (2012) 'Science Faculty's Subtle Gender Biases Favor Male Students'

• Randomized double-blind study (n = 127) in which science faculty from researchintensive universities were asked to rate the application materials of an undergrad student, randomly assigned either a male (John) or a female (Jennifer) name, for a lab manager position.

<u>Results</u>:

- (i) Faculty participants rated male applicant as significantly more competent and hireable than (identical) female applicant.
- (ii) Male applicant was offered higher starting salary and given more career mentoring.
- (iii) Female student was judged more likeable.
- (iv) Gender of the faculty participants did not affect results.



Excerpt from faculty recommendation letter: "...although Jennifer admittedly took a bit longer than some students to get serious about her studies early in college, she has impressed me by improving over the last two years of her science coursework and has made every effort to make up for lost ground...she has been a strong research assistant in my lab, and I know she is capable of serving as a dedicated lab manager."

Competence, hireability, and mentoring by student gender condition (collapsed across faculty gender).



Moss-Racusin C A et al. PNAS 2012;109:16474-16479



Salary conferral by student gender condition (collapsed across faculty gender).



Moss-Racusin C A et al. PNAS 2012;109:16474-16479



• Are faculty biases intentional?

"Past studies indicate that people's behavior is shaped by implicit or unintended biases, stemming from repeated exposure to pervasive cultural stereotypes that portray women as less competent but simultaneously emphasize their warmth and likeability compared with men."

"...research demonstrates that people who value their objectivity and fairness are paradoxically particularly likely to fall prey to biases, in part because they are not on guard against subtle bias."

• Conclusions:

"These findings underscore the point that faculty participants did not exhibit outright hostility or dislike toward the female students, but were instead affected by pervasive gender stereotypes, unintentionally downgrading the competence, hireability, salary, and mentoring of a female student compared with an identical male."

"Our results suggest that academic policies and mentoring interventions targeting undergraduate advisors could contribute to reducing the gender disparity."

"Importance of objective, transparent student evaluation and admissions criteria to guard against observers' tendency to unintentionally use different standards when assessing women relative to men."

1. Gender and Science

- Is gender biological or socially constructed?
- Is science gendered?

<u>*Claim*</u>: Cultural assumptions are embedded in the language of science.

<u>Example 1</u>. Francis Bacon, 17th cent.

- Leading figure in articulating a new "experimental method" in transition from Renaissance to early modern period.
 - \circ nature = wife
 - \circ mind (controller) = husband
 - \circ characteristics of a "good husband" (scientist):
 - respectful, firm, in charge





Francis Bacon (1561-1626)

- "Nature betrays her secrets more fully when in the grip and under the pressure of art [artiface] than when in enjoyment of her natural liberty."
- Does the use of such metaphors have an effect on how science is practiced?
- Do contemporary popular images of science have an effect on who is encouraged to practice it?

Example 2. Primatology and behavioral ecology.

- *Observation*: Male primates exhibit greater variation in reproductive success than females.
 - A few males have many mates, most of the others don't; whereas females tend to have the same number of mates.
- Early explanation (Dominant Alpha Male):
 - Males control social life and are active in attempting to gain reproductive success.
 - \circ Natural selection sorts the fittest males from the rest.
 - \circ Female roles are passive.





- Current explanation (Dominant Females):
 - \circ Females play active roles in reproductive success of males.
 - Females select physical and behavioral characteristics that distinguish dominant males from submissive males.



Peafowl: "Drab" peahens select peacocks with the largest, brightest tail displays.



Bowerbirds: Drab females select drab males with the greatest building expertise.

<u>Claim</u>: Early views in primatology were due to implicit sexism. Once more women became primatologists, more nuanced (and accurate) analyses of behavior became available.

- 2. Feminist Analyses of Scientific Knowledge
- (A) Spontaneous Feminist Empiricism

<u>Empiricist Claim</u>: Science should and can be value-neutral.

- <u>*Thus*</u>: Sexism detracts from science and should be avoided.
- (B) Philosophical Feminist Empiricism

<u>*Claim*</u>: Science *cannot* be entirely value-neutral.

- <u>Empiricism</u>: Belief in an objective, external world.
- <u>Duhem-Quine modificiation</u>: The methods used to investigate the external world are not as objective as traditional empiricists claim.
- <u>But</u>: Commitment to rational critical discourse is essential to its normative social structure.
- <u>And</u>: Such a norm requires the input of a diversity of points of view.

Longino's social knowledge



SCIENCE AS

Longino, H. (1990) Science as Social Knowledge

(C) Radical Feminist Epistemology

- Sympathetic to Strong Programme: scientific facts are socially constructed.
- <u>Dire consequences</u>: If scientific facts are socially constructed, and society discriminates against women, then scientific facts inherently discriminate against women.

(i) Standpoint Epistemology

<u>Claim</u>: The viewpoints of oppressed people (women, minorities, disenfrancised social groups) are privileged when it comes to obtaining knowledge about the world.

- There is *no* value-neutrality in science: political and social interests cannot be avoided.
- The oppression of women (and other disenfrancised groups) makes them less dogmatic and more open to change than the views of those in power.
- All views distort knowledge of the world; oppressed views distort it the least.



Harding, S. (1991) Whose Science? Whose Knowledge?

(ii) Difference Feminism

<u>*Claim*</u>: There are masculine and feminine perspectives and styles of knowing.



Keller, E. (1983) A Feeling for the Organism

The second s		Л
	Carol Gilligan	

Gilligan, C. (1982) In a Different Voice

- MasculineFeminineReductionismRelationalDistanced objectivityIntimacy between observer and observedGoal: technical controlGoal: holistic understanding
- Are engineering virtues gendered?
 - concrete, hands-on tinkering
 - technical mastery
 - emotional detachment
 - social responsibility

• Are there distinct engineering subcultures with distinct gendered virtues?

Civil EngineeringElectrical EngineeringEngineering PhysicsMechanical EngineeringBioengineeringAreospace EngineeringComputer EngineeringEngineering Managementetc.

(iii) Feminist Postmodernism

<u>Claim #1 (anti-essentialism)</u>: There are no essential, foundational characteristics of knowledge.

- <u>Thus (epistemological relativism)</u>: No viewpoints should be given privileged epistemological status.
 - women
 - minorities
 - socioeconomic classes
 - science

Different ways of describing the world, none of which should be privileged over the others.

<u>Claim #2</u>: Languages have no fixed, definite meaning.

- <u>*Thus*</u>: Literary, narrative analyses of relations among science, technology, and society are applicable.
 - <u>Example</u> (Haraway): Primatology is a "creation myth" of contemporary science (myth of origins) analogous to Christian creation myths and infused with Christian motiffs and values.



Haraway, D. (1990) Primate Visions

Martin, E. (1991) 'The Egg and the Sperm: How Science has Constructed a Romance Based on Stereotypical Male-Female Roles'



<u>Claim</u>: Cultural assumptions are embedded in the language of science. Emily Martin

<u>Particular Claim</u>: "The picture of egg and sperm drawn in popular" as well as scientific accounts of reproductive biology relies on stereotypes central to our cultural definitions of male and female."

Egg characteristics

- large and passive.
- "is transported", "is swept", "drifts".
- Religious overtones: has "vestments" and a "corona, is accompanied by "attendant cells".
- The queen to the sperm's king.
- "a dormant bride awaiting her mate's magic kiss, which instills the spirit that brings her to life".

Sperm characteristics

- \circ small, "streamlined", active.
- \circ "deliver" genes to the egg.
- \circ "activate the developmental program of the egg".
- have a "velocity", "energy", "fuel".
- \circ tails are "strong" and efficiently powered.
- carry out a "perilous journey" into the "warm darkness" where some fall away "exhausted".

- <u>But</u>: Research suggests egg's role is more active.
- Baltz, Katz, Cone (1988) 'The Mechanics of the Sperm-Egg Interaction at the Zona Pellucida', *Biophysical Journal* 54, 643-54.
 - Forward thrust of sperm is too weak to penetrate inner layer of egg (zona).
 - Sperm motion (side-to-side) suggests escape from egg rather than penetration.
 - Adhesive molecules on egg trap sperm.

"The innermost vestment, the *zona pellucida*, is a glyco-protein shell, which captures and tethers the sperm before they penetrate it... The sperm is captured at the initial contact between the sperm tip and the *zona*... Since the thrust [of the sperm] is much smaller than the force needed to break a single affinity bond, the first bond made upon the tip-first meeting of the sperm and *zona* can result in the capture of the sperm."

- <u>But</u>: This revisionist account still involves another cultural stereotype: Woman as a dangerous and aggressive threat.
 - Active egg "captures and tethers" sperm (like a spider lying in wait in her web).
 - Egg's nucleus "interrupts" the sperm's dive and "clasps the sperm and guides its nucleus to the center".

"New data did not lead scientists to eleminate gender stereotypes in their descriptions of egg and sperm. Instead, scientists simply began to describe egg and sperm in different, but no less damaging, terms."

- A less stereotypical view: the cybernetic model.
 - $\circ\,$ feedback loops
 - $\circ\,$ flexible adaptation to change
 - $\circ\,$ coordination of the parts within the whole
 - evolution over time
 - $\circ\,$ changing response to the environment
- 19th century interaction between social and natural sciences:
 - Malthus on population control of poor, Spenser on economic "survival of the fittest"...
 - ...influenced Darwin's concept of natural selection in Origin of Species...
 - ... which, in turn, was used to justify Malthusianism and social Darwinism.

"What we are seeing now is similar: the importation of cultural ideas about passive females and heroic males into the 'personalities' of gametes. This amounts to the 'implanting of social imagery on representations of nature so as to lay a firm basis for reimporting exactly that same imagery as natural explanations of social phenomena'."



Thomas Malthus (1766-1834)



Herbert Spencer (1820-1903)



Charles Darwin (1809-1882)