07. Kuhn: Anomalies and Crises

1. Anomaly and the Emergence of Scientific Discoveries

"Discovery" of Oxygen

- <u>Phlogiston paradigm (~1600's)</u>:
 - \circ *Phlogiston* = substance contained in flammable bodies and released when they're burned.
 - \circ <u>Ex</u>. Burning wood produces ash, lighter than wood.
 - "Dephlogisticated" air is air low in phlogiston and thus capable of supporting combustion.



• <u>"Anomalies" (~1770's)</u>:

- Some metals gain weight when burned (ex. magnesium).
 - Does phlogiston have *negative* weight?
- In enclosed vessels, weight gained is equal to weight loss of surrounding air, and volume of air decreases!
 - Seems to indicate combustion involves absorption, not emission.



- Priestley's experiments (1774-75):
 - Burns mercury oxide.
 - \circ Identifies product as dephlogisticated air.

- Lavoisier's experiments (1775-79):
 - Determines that Priestley's dephlogisticated air has weight.
 - \circ Identifies it as a new type of gas, "oxygen".
 - <u>Claim</u>: Oxygen is an atomic 'principle of acidity' and is formed only when that 'principle' unites with caloric.
 - Offers new account of combustion based on the absorption of oxygen.





Joseph Priestley (1733-1804)





Antoine Lavoisier (1743-1794)

REVOLUTION!

- Did Priestley "discover" oxygen?
 - Had it in his hand.
 - \circ <u>But</u>: Didn't have a pure sample, and didn't know it as what we take to be oxygen.
- Did Lavoisier "discover" oxygen?
 - \circ Had it in his hand, and called it "oxygen".
 - \circ <u>But</u>: Had it after Priestley and still didn't know it as what we take to be oxygen.





I call you "oxygen", sweet principle of acidity in a world full of caloric...

- Discovery is not a single act similar to "seeing":
 - "... discovering a new phenomenon is necessarily a complex event, one which involves recognizing both <u>that</u> something is and <u>what</u> it is." (Kuhn, pg. 55.)

simple seeing

interpreting what is seen

Seeing that versus seeing what...





- <u>Me</u>: Pink blobs on a purple background.
- <u>Mona</u>: DNA molecules. (A photo of the outcome of a gel electrophoresis experiment. The pink bands are tracks left by DNA molecules of differing sizes as they migrate up the gel in the presence of an electric field.)

- <u>Me</u>: Pretty blue spirals...
- <u>Maya</u>: Elementary particles. (A photo of a bubble-chamber during a scattering experiment. The spirals are the tracks left by particles of differing masses as they scatter in the presence of a potential field.)

Characteristics of Discovery

- Previous awareness of anomaly.
- Emergence of both *observational* and *conceptual* recognition.
- Change of paradigm categories and procedures, often accompanied by resistance.
- <u>Role of normal science in discovery</u>: Provides "background of expectation" with respect to which novelties and anomalies are vivid and stand out.



Bruner, J. S. & L. Postman (1949) 'On the Perception of Incongruity: A Paradigm', *J. Personality* 18, 206.

2. Crises and the Emergence of Scientific Theories

 $\underline{Anomaly} = \text{phenomenon for which a given}$ paradigm has not readied the investigator. $\underline{Crisis} = \text{build-up of anomalies.}$

$\underline{Ex1}$. Phlogiston theory

- <u>Crisis</u>: Build-up of experiments that indicate:
 - Weight gain of some metals during combustion.
 - Weight gain = weight loss of surrounding air.
 - Volume of surrounding air decreases.
- In principle explainable:
 - Phlogiston has negative weight for such metals.
 - Combustion is both a process of emission of phlogiston and absorption of something else.



• <u>But</u>: As more qualifications of theory are made, alternatives may begin to look more attractive.

- <u>Ex2</u>. Ether theories of light (1800's)
- <u>Claim</u>: Light consists of waves that propagate in a "luminiferous" ether.
- <u>Crisis</u>: Build-up of experiments that indicate motion through the ether cannot be detected.
- In principle explainable:
 - Objects drag the ether as they move through it.
 - Objects physically contract as they move through it.
- <u>But</u>: As more qualifications of theory are made, alternatives may begin to look more attractive.

<u>Claim</u>: Alternative theories can be anticipated during prior episodes of normal science, but only in the context of a crisis are they taken seriously.

"... retooling is an extravagance to be reserved for the occasion that admits it." (Kuhn, pg. 76.)

3. The Response to Crisis

• Anomalies are *not* treated as refutations.



• Crises are typically *tolerated* to a large extent:

"Like artists, creative scientists must occasionally be able to live in a world out of joint—elsewhere I have described that necessity as 'the essential tension' implicit in scientific research." (Kuhn, pg. 79.)

- <u>*Recall*</u>: Paradigms do *not* completely resolve all their puzzles.
 - Those that do become "tools for engineering" (pg. 79) as opposed to scientific research programmes.

- Those puzzles that a paradigm has yet to solve can be viewed from other perspectives as sources of crisis!
- <u>Ex</u>. Late 19th Cent. Ether theories of light vs. Special Relativity

Newtonian paradigm: Lorentz, Fitzgerald (~1890's-1900)

- Retain ether.
- Retain Newtonian concepts of space and time.
- Claim that moving objects physically contract in the direction of motion through the ether.

New Perspective: Einstein (1905)

- Abandon ether.
- Abandon Newtonian concepts of space and time.



Hendrik Lorentz (1853-1928)

George Francis FitzGerald (1851-1901)



Albert Einstein (1879-1955)

How Crises End

- Normal science may overcome anomalies; or,
- Anomalies are set aside for future research; or,
- New candidate for paradigm arises and battle-lines are drawn.

REVOLUTION!

• Transition to new paradigm is analogous to a gestalt switch:





- Qualifications (pg. 85):
 - $\circ\,$ Gestalt switches require interpretation.
 - Initially, scientists just see.