Study questions for [GS] Chapter 5: Immature Science.

- 1. What is a paradigm, according to a "broad sense"?
- 2. What is a paradigm, according to a "narrow sense"?
- 3. What are some characteristics of "normal science"?
- 4. How do Popper and Kuhn disagree over the "openness" of science?
- 5. How do Popper and Kuhn disagree over the nature of the processes that govern science?
- 6. What is the relation between a scientific field and a paradigm?
- 7. What is the difference between puzzle-solving and problem-solving?
- 8. What is an "anomaly"?
- 9. How is a paradigm like a well-shielded and well-designed bomb?

Study questions for [K] Chaps 1-2; Postscript Intro & Section 1: Immature Science.

Chapters 1-2.

- 1. Why might a question like "When was oxygen discovered?" be the wrong type of question for a historian of science to ask?
- 2. Why might we question the view of science that sees it as a process of accumulation of individual discoveries and inventions?
- 3. In studying Galileo's contributions to science, why does Kuhn think we shouldn't compare his views with modern science, but rather with those of his contemporaries?
- 4. Why is it advantageous that normal science often suppresses fundamental novelties?
- 5. How does Kuhn first describe scientific revolutions?
- 6. What does Kuhn mean when he says "Scientific fact and theory are not categorically separable, except perhaps within a single tradition of normal-scientific practice"?
- 7. How does Kuhn define "normal science"?
- 8. How does Kuhn first define a paradigm? What are some of his examples?
- 9. According to Kuhn, what distinguishes the period in the history of optics prior to Newton from the period that came after Newton?
- 10. What are some of the reasons Kuhn lists that make it difficult for paradigms to be established?
- 11. In order for a theory to be accepted as a paradigm, does it have to explain all the facts with which it can be confronted?
- 12. What role do textbooks play in a paradigm? Why are they advantageous for the creative scientist?
- 13. How does Kuhn explain the fact that writing a textbook in a well-established paradigm usually impairs your professional reputation, as opposed to enhancing it?
- 14. How does Kuhn explain the fact that most scientific journal articles in well-established paradigms are incomprehensible to the layman?

Postscript: Intro & Section 1.

- 15. What are the two senses of "paradigm" that Kuhn identifies?
- 16. In what sense is Kuhn's initial explanations of the term "paradigm" circular? Why does Kuhn think this can be addressed by sociological studies of science?
- 17. According to Kuhn, what characterizes a scientific community?
- 18. What characterizes the transition from a "pre-paradigm" period to a "paradigm" period?
- 19. According to Kuhn, what does a paradigm govern: a *subject matter* (like "physical optics" or "electricity"), or a *community*? Why does he think this distinction is important?

Study questions for [K] Chaps 3-5, Postscript Sections 2-3: Normal Science.

Chap 3

- 1. How do paradigms gain their status?
- 2. In what sense is normal science made up of "mopping-up" operations?
- 3. What is one type of fact-gathering in normal science that Kuhn describes?
- 4. What are three ways in which experiments are used to articulate a paradigm?
- 5. What is one type of theoretical problem that normal science is concerned with?

Chap 4

- 6. If the results gained in normal science are not novel, why are they significant?
- 7. What is a "puzzle", according to Kuhn? How does it differ from a "problem"?
- 8. What roles does a paradigm play as a criterion for choosing problems?
- 9. According to Kuhn, what is one of the reasons why normal science appears to progress rapidly?
- 10. In addition to having a solution, what more characterizes a puzzle?
- 11. What is one type of restriction a paradigm places on constructing solutions to the puzzles it poses? Chap 5
- 12. Can you know what rules a scientific community is using to conduct its research just by knowing what paradigms it shares? Why or why not?
- 13. Why does Wittgenstein think that things like games or chairs cannot be described simply by a common set of characteristics? How does he think we identify such things?
- 14. Why does Kuhn think that things like rules that govern research programs cannot be described simply by a common set of characteristics? How does he think we identify such things?
- 15. What are some reasons Kuhn gives for believing that paradigms determine normal science without the intervention of discoverable rules?

Postscript, Sections 2-3

- 16. What is a "disciplinary matrix"?
- 17. What are some components of a disciplinary matrix?
- 18. What is an exemplar, and why does Kuhn think it is "the most novel and least understood aspect" of his book?
- 19. What is "tacit knowledge"? In what sense is the knowledge gained from exemplars tacit knowledge?