

Chapter 28: Q-Validity

In PL:

The **PL** wffs A_1, \dots, A_n *tautologically entail* the **PL** wff C just when there is no **PL** valuation that makes A_1, \dots, A_n true and C false.

An argument in **PL** is *tautologically valid* just when its premises tautologically entail its conclusion.

In QL:

The **QL** closed wffs A_1, \dots, A_n *q-entail* the **QL** closed wff C just when there is no q -valuation of the vocabulary V associated with these wff that makes A_1, \dots, A_n true and C false.

An argument in **QL** is *q-valid* just when its premises q -entail its conclusion.

A q -valuation q **satisfies** a set of *closed wffs* (or is a **model** of this set) just when q makes all the *wffs* true.

So: An argument in **QL** is q -valid just when there is no model of its premises and negated conclusion.

A **countermodel** of an argument in **QL** is a q -valuation that makes its premises true and its conclusion false.

A **q -logical truth** is a closed **QL wff** that is true on all q -valuations of its vocabulary.

Ex1: $\forall x(Fx \supset Gx), Fn \therefore Gn$ q -valid?

$\forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$	}	<i>Assume a countermodel exists.</i>
$Fn \Rightarrow_q T$		
$\neg Gn \Rightarrow_q T$		
$(Fn \supset Gn) \Rightarrow_q T$	(V1)	
$\neg Fn \Rightarrow_q T$	$Gn \Rightarrow_q T$	(Q4)
*	*	

No counterexample exists.

So: **QL** argument is q -valid

Ex2: $\exists xFx, \forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \therefore \exists xGx$

- | | | | |
|------|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| (1) | $\exists xFx \Rightarrow_q T$ | } | <i>Assume a countermodel exists.</i> |
| (2) | $\forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$ | | |
| (3) | $\neg \exists xGx \Rightarrow_q T$ | | |
| (4) | $\forall x \neg Gx \Rightarrow_q T$ | (V4) on 3. | |
| (5) | $Fa \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ | (V2) on 1. | |
| (6) | $\forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ | (V5) on 2. | |
| (7) | $(Fa \supset Ga) \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ | (V1) on 6. | |
| (8) | $\forall x \neg Gx \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ | (V5) on 4. | |
| (9) | $\neg Ga \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ | (V1) on 8. | |
| (10) | $\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \neg Fa \Rightarrow_{q+} T \quad Ga \Rightarrow_{q+} T \\ * \qquad \qquad * \end{array}$ | (Q4) on 7. | |

No counterexample exists.
So: **QL** argument is *q*-valid.

Ex3: $(\exists x(Fx \wedge \neg Gx) \supset \neg Gn), Gn \therefore \forall x(Fx \supset Gx)$

(1) $(\exists x(Fx \wedge \neg Gx) \supset \neg Gn) \Rightarrow_q T$
 (2) $Gn \Rightarrow_q T$
 (3) $\neg \forall x(Fx \supset Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$ } Assume a countermodel q exists.

(4) $\exists x \neg(Fx \supset Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$ (V3) on 3.

(5) $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge \neg Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$ $\neg Gn \Rightarrow_q T$ (Q4) on 1.
 *

(6) $\forall x \neg(Fx \wedge \neg Gx) \Rightarrow_q T$ (V4) on 5.

(7) $\neg(Fa \supset Ga) \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ (V2) on 4.

(8) $\forall x \neg(Fx \wedge \neg Gx) \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ (V5) on 6.

(9) $\neg(Fa \wedge \neg Ga) \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ (V1) on 8.

(10) $Fa \Rightarrow_{q+} T$
 (11) $\neg Ga \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ } From (7).

(12) $\neg Fa \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ $\neg \neg Ga \Rightarrow_{q+} T$ From 9.
 * *

No counterexample exists.
So: **QL** argument is q -valid.