Assignment #4. Due Tues, Feb 25.

- 1. Use the (unsigned) tree method to determine whether the following arguments in **PL** are tautologically valid. Make sure to explain your answers..
 - (a) $(\neg P \land Q) \therefore \neg (P \land Q)$
 - (b) $P, \neg P \therefore Q$
 - (c) $(\mathsf{Q} \lor \neg \mathsf{P}), \neg(\mathsf{Q} \land \neg \mathsf{R}), (\neg \mathsf{R} \lor \neg \mathsf{P}) \therefore \neg \mathsf{P}$
 - (d) $(\neg(\mathsf{P} \lor \mathsf{Q}) \lor \neg\mathsf{R}), (\neg\mathsf{R} \lor \neg\mathsf{Q}) \therefore (\neg\mathsf{Q} \lor \mathsf{P})$
- 2. Recall that the corresponding conditional of an argument in **PL** is a conditional *wff* whose consequent is the conclusion and whose antecedent is the conjunction of all the premises. Determine whether the following arguments in **PL** are tautologically valid by evaluating their corresponding conditionals *using the tree method*.
 - (a) $(\neg \mathsf{P} \land \mathsf{Q}) \therefore \neg (\mathsf{P} \land \mathsf{Q})$
 - (b) $P, \neg P \therefore Q$