Assignment #3-key.

1a) For any w	<i>ffs</i> A , B , if $A \models B$ and $B \models C$, then $A \models C$.
<u>Proof</u> : W	e're given $A \vDash B$ and $B \vDash C$, and we're supposed to show that $A \vDash C$.
<u>Suppose</u> :	$A \vDash B$ and $B \vDash C$.
<u>Then</u> :	There is no valuation that makes A true and B false, and there is no valuation that makes B true and
	C false.
<u>So</u> :	All valuations that make A true also make B true, and all valuations that make B true also make C
	true.
<u>Thus</u> :	All valuations that make A true also make C true.
<u>Thus</u> :	$A \vDash C$.

1b) For any *wffs* A, B, if $A \models \neg B$, then it's not the case that $A \models B$. **Proof:**

11001	
Suppose:	$A \vDash \neg B.$
<u>Then</u> :	There is no valuation that makes A true and $\neg B$ false.
<u>So</u> :	There is no valuation that makes A true and B true.
<u>Thus</u> :	All valuations that make A true also make B false.
<u>So</u> :	It's not the case that $A \models B$.

1c) For any *wffs* A, B, if $\vDash (A \lor B)$ and $\vDash \neg (A \land B)$, then $A \vDash \neg B$ and $\neg B \vDash A$. **Proof:**

Suppose:	$\models (A \lor B) \text{ and } \models \neg (A \land B).$
<u>Then:</u>	All valuations make $(A \lor B)$ true, and all valuations make $\neg (A \land B)$ true.
Thus:	All valuations make $(A \lor B)$ true, and all valuations make $(A \land B)$ false.
<u>So</u> :	All valuations make either A true or B true, but not both simultaneously.
<u>So</u> :	If a valuation makes A true, it must make B false, and if a valuation makes A false, it must make B
	true.
Thus:	If a valuation makes A true, it must make $\neg B$ true, and if a valuation makes A false, it must make $\neg B$
	false. (A and $\neg B$ are truth-functionally equivalent.)
Thus:	There is no valuation that makes A true and $\neg B$ false, and there is no valuation that makes $\neg B$ true,
	and A false.
<u>So</u> :	$A \vDash \neg B$ and $\neg B \vDash A$.

3a) The corresponding conditional is $((\neg P \land Q) \supset \neg (P \land Q))$.

		$((\neg P \land Q) \supset \neg (P \land Q))$	
Т	Т	FTFT T FTTT FTFF T TFF TFTT T TFFT TFFF T TFFF	
Т	F	FTFF \mathbf{T} TTFF	
F	Т	TFTT \mathbf{T} TFFT	
F	F	TFFF T TFFF	
	-	·	

The corresponding conditional is a tautology. Thus the argument is tautologically valid.

3b) The corresponding conditional is $((P \land \neg P) \supset Q)$.

	Q	((P	\wedge	-	Ρ) ⊃	Q)	
Т	T F T F	Т	F	F	Т	Т	Т	
Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	
F	Т	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	
F	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	F	

The corresponding conditional is a tautology. Thus the argument is tautologically valid.